

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/083,413             | DOMB ET AL.         |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | MICHELE FLOOD          | 1655                |  |

  

**All Participants:**

(1) MICHELE FLOOD.

(2) Michael J. Terapane.

**Date of Interview:** 22 February 2011

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Discussed amending Claim 16 to place in better condition for allowance. Applicant's representative authorized the Examiner's amendment to Claim 16.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michele Flood/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 10:20 a.m.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)